



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Coln  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,507	12/29/2000	Byoung Heon Lee	P-176	6878
34610	7590	12/21/2005	EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			CHOU, ALBERT T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2662	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/750,507	LEE, BYOUNG HEON
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Albert T. Chou	2662

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Albert T. Chou.

(3) David C. Oren.

(2) Hassan Kizou.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2005

**Time:** 3:40 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Claim 19*

Prior art documents discussed:

M/A

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 HASSAN KIZOU  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 19 was discussed. Examiner pointed out to applicant's representative several instances of unclear claim language that can not be understood and could not be related to the disclosed invention with reasonable degree of clarity. Applicant's representative was informed that while only claim 19 was discussed, other claims have similar problems.